arXiv:1304.4341v1 [math.OA] 16 Apr 2013

Non-Extendable endomorphisms and E_0-semigroups on type III factors

Panchugopal Bikram

Abstract

In this paper we exhibit examples of extendable endomorphisms on factors of type III. Using one of the necessary conditions obtained for extendability in [BISS], we prove that the CAR flows ([G]) on type III factors arising from most quasi-free states are not extendable, thereby showing that there do exist non-extendable endomorphisms on type III factors. We know from [Arv] that CCR flows and CAR flows on type I factors with the same Arveson index are cocycle conjugate. But our result together with [MS] will show that CCR flows and CAR flows on type III factors are not cocycle conjugate.

1 Introduction

A weak-* continuous semigroup of of unital $*$-endomorphisms on a von Neumann algebra is called an E_0-semigroup. E_0-semigroups on type one factors have receivded much atention (see the monograph [Arv] for an extensive reference). The study of E_0-semigroups on type II_1 factors was initiated by Powers in 1998 (see [Pr]). There was little progress on E_0-semigroups on type II_1 factors until the results independently obtained recently in [Alev] and [MS]).

On the other hand, E_0-semigroups on type III factors had not received too much attention. Recently there has been an attempt to study E_0-semigroups on arbitrary factors (see [BISS], [ABS]). In [BISS], we studied a certain class of endomorphisms and E_0-semigroups which we call extendable.

In section 3 we willl give some examples of extendable endomorphisms on type III factors. In section 4 we study CAR flows on type III factors and prove that they are not extendable. Also we point out an error in [ABS].

In section 5 we study the relations between CCR and CAR flows on type III factors.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Extendable Endomorphism

We begin by recalling some facts from [BISS] that will be used often in the sequel. Assume that ϕ is a faithful normal state on a factor M. Let λ_M be the left regular representation of M onto $\mathcal{B}(L^2(M,\phi))$. Identify $x \in M$ with $\lambda_M(x)$. For the modular conjugation operator, we simply write $\mathcal{J}$ for $(\mathcal{J}_\phi)$. Thanks to the Tomita-Takesaki theorem, we know that

- $\jmath := \mathcal{J}(\cdot)\mathcal{J}$ is a *-preserving conjugate-linear isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(M,\phi))$ onto itself, which maps M and M' onto one another, and that
- $\widehat{1_M}$ is a cyclic and separating vector for M'.

We assume that θ is a normal unital *-endomorphism, which preserves ϕ. The invariance assumption $\phi \circ \theta = \phi$ implies that there exists a unique isometry u_θ on $L^2(M,\phi)$ such that $u_\theta x \widehat{1_M} = \theta(x)\widehat{1_M}$, which in turn implies that $u_\theta x = \theta(x) u_\theta \ \forall x \in M$. Recall the following definition from [BISS].

Definition 2.1. *If M, ϕ, θ are as above, and if the associated isometry u_θ of $L^2(M,\phi)$ commutes with the modular conjugation operator $\mathcal{J}(= \mathcal{J}_\phi)$, then θ is called an* **equi-modular** *endomorphism of the factorial non-commutative probability space (M,ϕ).*

Suppose θ is an equi-modular endomorphism of a factorial non-commutative probability space (M,ϕ). Then the equation $\theta'(x') = \mathcal{J}\theta(\mathcal{J}x'\mathcal{J})\mathcal{J}$ defines a unital normal *-endomorphism of M', which preserves the state given by $\phi'(x') = \overline{\phi(\mathcal{J}x'\mathcal{J})}$; and we have the identifications $L^2(M',\theta') = L^2(M,\phi)$, $\widehat{1_{M'}} = \widehat{1_M}$ and $u_{\theta'} = u_\theta$ (for details see [BISS]). For convenience of reference, we include this definition from [BISS] .

Definition 2.2. *Let θ be an equi-modular endomorphism of a factorial non-commutative probability space (M,ϕ) in standard form (i.e., viewed as embedded in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(M,\phi))$ as above). Then θ is called* **extendable** *if there exists a unital normal $*$-endomorphism $\theta^{(2)}$ of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(M,\phi))$ such that $\theta^{(2)}(x) = \theta(x)$ and $\theta^{(2)}(j(x)) = j(\theta(x))$ for all $x \in M$.*

2.2 Extendable E_0-semigroup

Definition 2.3. *$\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ is said to be an E_0-semigroup on a von Neumann probability space (M, ϕ), if*

1. *α_t is a ϕ-preserving normal unital *-homomorphism of M for each $t \geq 0$;*
2. *$\alpha_t \circ \alpha_s = \alpha_{t+s}$ $\forall s.t'geq0$;*
3. *$\alpha_0 = id_M$; and*
4. *$[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \rho(\alpha_t(x))$ is continuous for each $x \in M, \rho \in M_*$.*

It is called extendable E_0-semigroup if fol all $t \geq 0$, α_t is extendable.

3 Example

[BISS] exhibited a class of extendable endomorphisms on some II_1 factors. Suppose θ is such an extendable endomorphism of a II_1 factor (N, tr), and let (M, ϕ) be any type III factor with a faithful normal state. Then consider the von Neumann probability space $(M \otimes N, \phi \otimes tr)$. Then $M \otimes N$ is a type III factor, and $id_M \otimes \theta : M \otimes N \mapsto M \otimes N$ is clearly a equi-modular endomorphism, since θ is equi-modular. An easy computation using any of the equivalent conditions in Corollary 3.5 of [BISS] shows that $id_M \otimes \theta$ is extendable.

4 CAR Flow

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is any Hilbert space. Let $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ denote the anti-symmetric Fock space. For given $f \in \mathcal{H}$, let $a(f)$ be the creation operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}))$; thus:

1. $\mathcal{H} \ni f \mapsto a(f)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear,
2. **(CAR)**
   $a(f)a(g) + a(g)a(f) = 0$ and $a(f)a(g)^* + a(g)^*a(f) = \langle f, g\rangle 1$,

where $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the unital C^*-algebra generated by $\{a(f) : f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}))$. We note that $||a(f)|| = ||f||$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Now suppose $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $0 \leq R \leq 1$, where of course 1 is the identity operator $id_{\mathcal{H}}$. The operator R determines the so-called quasi-free state ω_R on $\mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the condition:

$$\omega_R(a^*(f_m) \cdots a^*(f_1)a(g_1) \cdots a(g_n)) = \delta_{mn}\det(\langle f_i, Rg_j\rangle).$$

It is known - see [BrRo], [G] - that there exists a representation π_R of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_R = \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_R(a(f)) = a((1-R)^{1/2}f) \otimes \Gamma + 1 \otimes a^*(qR^{1/2}f),\\
&\pi_R(a^*(f)) = a^*((1-R)^{1/2}f) \otimes \Gamma + 1 \otimes a(qR^{1/2}f),\\
&\pi_R(1) = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Here Ω is the 'vacuum vector' for the antisymmetric Fock space $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$, q is an anti-unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with $q^2 = 1$, and Γ is the unique unitary operator on $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the conditions $\Gamma a(f) = -a(f)\Gamma, f \in \mathcal{H}$, and $\Gamma\Omega = \Omega$. In this representation, the state ω_R becomes the vector state

$$\omega_R(x) = \langle \Omega \otimes \Omega, \pi_R(x)\Omega \otimes \Omega \rangle,$$

for $x \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{H}_R = \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\pi_R(\mathcal{A})\Omega \otimes \Omega}$ becomes the GNS Hilbert space, under the assumption that both R and $1-R$ are injective (and hence also have dense range). So $(\pi_R, \mathcal{H}_R, \Omega \otimes \Omega)$ is the GNS triple for the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the state ω_R. We write $M_R = \{\pi_R(\mathcal{A})\}''$, which is always a factor, most often of type III (see [PoSt] Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.3).

Let $\{s_t\}_{t\geq}$ be the shift semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$. Assume $s_t^* R s_t = R$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then, by [Arv] Proposition 13.2.3 and [PoSt] Lemma 5.3, there exists an E_0-semigroup $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ on M_R, where α_t is uniquely determined by the following condition:

$$\alpha_t(\pi_R(a(f)) = \pi_R(a(s_t f)),$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}, t \geq 0$. This E_0-semigroup is called the **CAR flow of rank dim** $\mathcal{K}$ (on M_R).

For the remainder of this paper, we shall assume the following:

1. $qs_t = s_t q$ for all $t \geq 0$. (Such a q always exists.)
2. We write $a_R(f)$ for $\pi_R(a(f))$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and write $\mathcal{J}$ for the modular conjugation operator of M_R.
3. Both R and $1-R$ are invertible; i.e., $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon \leq R \leq 1-\epsilon$.

4. R is diagonalisable; in fact, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_i\}$ for $\mathcal{K}$ with $Rf_i = \lambda_i f_i$ for some $\lambda_i \in [\epsilon, 1-\epsilon] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$.

5. $Rs_t = s_t R \ \forall t \geq 0$. (Clearly then, also the Toeplitz condition $s_t^* R s_t = R$ is met.)

As we are unaware of whether, and if so where, these details may be found in the literature, we shall explicitly determine the modular operators in this case, and eventually ascertain (in Remark 4.6) the equi-modularity of the CAR flow.

For any (usually orthonormal)set $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we shall use the following notation for the rest of the paper: if $I = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n)$ and $J = (j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_m)$ are ordered subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, then

1. $w_I = w_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_n}$,
2. $w_{IJ} = w_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_n} \wedge w_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{j_m}$,
3. $Tw_I = Tw_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Tw_{i_n}$ for any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$;
4. $\tilde{I} = \{i_n, \cdots, i_1\}$ so $w_{\tilde{I}} = w_{i_n} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_1}$;
5. $a_R(w_I) = a_R(w_{i_1}) \cdots a_R(w_{i_n})$,
6. $a_R^*(f) = (a_R(f))^*$, so $a_R^*(w_{\tilde{I}}) =: a_R^*(w_{i_n}) \cdots a_R^*(w_{i_1}) =: (a_R(w_I))^*$,

For a while, to simplify the notations, we write $A = (1-R)^{1/2}$, $B = qR^{1/2}$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Bh_i, Bh_j\rangle &= \langle qR^{1/2}h_i, qR^{1/2}h_j\rangle \\ &= \langle R^{1/2}h_j, R^{1/2}h_i\rangle \quad \text{since } q \text{ is anti-unitary} \\ &= \langle Rh_j, h_i\rangle \\ &= \delta_{i,j}\lambda_i \ . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $L = \{l_1 < \cdots < l_p\}$ be an ordered subset*[1] *of $\mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$a_R(h_L)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})\Omega \otimes \Omega = \sum c(L_1) Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1}, \tag{4.1}$$

where the summation is taken over all ordered (possibly empty) subsets L_1 of L and the $c(L_1)$ are all non-zero real numbers - with $Ah_\emptyset$ and $Bh_\emptyset$ being interpreted as Ω.

---

[1] For us, an ordered subset of $\mathbb{N}$ will always mean a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ with elements ordered in increasing order

Proof. We will use induction on the cardinality of L. If $|L| = 1$, i.e $L = \{l\}$, then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a_R(h_l)a_R^*(h_l)\Omega \otimes \Omega &= a_R(h_l)\Omega \otimes Bh_l \\ &= -Ah_l \otimes Bh_l + \|Bh_l\|^2\Omega \otimes \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Thus our lemma is true if $|L| = 1$. Suppose the result is true for ordered sets with n elements. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l \notin L = \{l_1 < \cdots < l_n\}$ and $l_n < l$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & a_R(h_L)a_R(h_l)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(h_l)\Omega \otimes \Omega \\ &\quad = (-1)^{3|L|}a_R(h_l)a_R^*(h_l)a_R(h_L)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})\Omega \otimes \Omega \\ &\quad = (-1)^{|L|}a_R(h_l)a_R^*(h_l)\sum c(L_1)Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1} \\ &\quad = (-1)^{|L|}a_R(h_l)\sum c(L_1)Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_l \wedge Bh_{L_1} \\ &\quad = \sum (-1)^{|L|+1+|L_1|}c(L_1)Ah_l \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_l \wedge Bh_{L_1} \\ &\qquad + \sum (-1)^{|L|}\lambda_l c(L_1)Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1} \\ &\quad = \sum (-1)^{|L|+1+|L_1|}c(L_1)Ah_{L_1} \wedge Ah_l \otimes Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_l \\ &\qquad + \sum (-1)^{|L|}c(L_1)\lambda_l Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1} \end{aligned}$$

and the induction step is complete. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $L = \{l_1 < \cdots < l_p\}$ so that, by Lemma 4.1, equation 4.1 is satisfied. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & a_R(s_th_L)a_R^*(s_th_{\tilde{L}})\Omega \otimes \Omega = \sum c(L_1)As_th_{L_1} \otimes Bs_th_{L_1}, \forall t \geq 0; \\ (ii) \quad & a_R(h_I)a_R(h_L)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega \\ = \quad & \sum (-1)^{|I||J|+|L_1|(|I|+|J|)}c(L_1)Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J \\ (iii) \quad & a_R(s_th_I)a_R(s_th_L)a_R^*(s_th_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(s_th_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega \\ = \quad & \sum (-1)^{|I||J|+|L_1|(|I|+|J|)}c(L_1)As_th_I \wedge As_th_{L_1} \otimes Bs_th_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J, \ \forall t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where I and J are finite ordered subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ with $I \cap J = I \cap L = L \cap J = \phi$, and the summation is taken over all ordered subsets L_1 of L .

Proof. 1. For the first part we use induction on cardinality of L. If $|L| = 1$, then $L = \{l\}$ and

$$a_R(h_l)a_R^*(h_l)\Omega \otimes \Omega = -Ah_l \otimes Bh_l + \lambda_l\Omega \otimes \Omega.$$

Our strong Toeplitz assumption (that s_t commutes with R and hence also with A and B) then guarantees that

$$a_R(s_t h_l)a_R^*(s_t h_l)\Omega \otimes \Omega = -As_t h_l \otimes Bs_t h_l + \lambda_l \Omega \otimes \Omega$$

and part one of the corollary is true for $|L| = 1$. Suppose the result is true for ordered sets with n elements. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l \notin L = \{l_1 < \cdots < l_n\}$ and $l_n < l$. Then the proof of Lemma 4.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_R(h_L)a_R(h_l)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(h_l)\Omega \otimes \Omega \\
&\qquad = \sum (-1)^{|L|+1+|L_1|} c(L_1)(Ah_l \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_l \wedge Bh_{L_1} \\
&\qquad\quad + (-1)^{|L|} \sum \lambda_l Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1})
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 and appealing to the Toeplitz condition, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_R(s_t h_L)a_R(s_t h_l)a_R^*(s_t h_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(s_t h_l)\Omega \otimes \Omega \\
&\qquad = \sum (-1)^{|L|+1+|L_1|} c(L_1)(As_t h_l \wedge As_t h_{L_1} \otimes Bs_t h_l \wedge Bs_t h_{L_1} \\
&\qquad\quad + (-1)^{|L|} \sum \lambda_l As_t h_{L_1} \otimes Bs_t h_{L_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the inductive step in the proof of (i).

2. This easily follows from:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_R(h_I)a_R(h_L)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega \\
&\quad = a_R(h_I)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{J}})a_R(h_L)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})\Omega \otimes \Omega \\
&\quad = a_R(h_I)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{J}}) \sum c(L_1) Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1} \\
&\quad = a_R(h_I) \sum c(L_1) Ah_L \otimes Bh_J \wedge Bh_L \\
&\quad = \sum c(L_1)(-1)^{|I|(|J|+|L_1|)} Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_J \wedge Bh_{L_1} \\
&\quad = \sum c(L_1)(-1)^{|I|(|J|+|L_1|)}(-1)^{|J||L_1|} Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_J \wedge Bh_{L_1} \\
&\quad = \sum (-1)^{|I||J|+|L_1|(|I|+|J|)} c(L_1) Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J
\end{aligned}$$

3. Part (iii) of the Corollary follows from part (i) of the Corollary, exactly as part (ii) of the Corollary follows from equation 4.1.

□

REMARK 4.3.

1. *In the above corollary observe that the coefficients in the expansion of* $a_R(h_I)a_R(h_L)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{L}})a_R^*(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega$ *are symmetric in* I *and* J*, that is if we interchange* I *and* J*, then the corresponding coefficient will not be changed.*

2. *Since we have assumed that both* R *and* $1 - R$ *are injective (and have dense range), we may deduce from Corollary4.2(ii) and the fact that every coefficient* $c(L_1)$ *is non-vanishing, that* $\mathcal{H}_R = \overline{\pi_R(\mathcal{A})\Omega \otimes \Omega} = \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$*.*

Now the following lemma describes the action of the modular conjugation $\mathcal{J}$ and the commutant of M_R.

LEMMA 4.4. *With the above notation,*

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) && \mathcal{J}(h_I \wedge h_L \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_J) = h_{\tilde{J}} \wedge h_L \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_{\tilde{I}} \\ &(ii) && \mathcal{J}M_R\mathcal{J} = M_R' = \{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma b_R(h_i), b_R^*(h_j)\Gamma \otimes \Gamma : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}'' \\ &(iii) && \mathcal{J}a_R(h_l)\mathcal{J} = \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b_R^*(h_l) \end{aligned}$$

where $b_R(h) = a(R^{1/2}h) \otimes \Gamma - 1 \otimes a^*(q(1 - R)^{1/2}h)$*.*

Proof. Recall the definition of the anti-linear (Tomita) operator S, given by $Sx\Omega \otimes \Omega = x^*\Omega \otimes \Omega$, $x \in M_R$. We want to show the following expression for S:

$$\begin{aligned} &S(Ah_I \wedge Ah_L \otimes Bh_L \wedge Bh_J) \\ &\qquad = Ah_{\tilde{J}} \wedge Ah_L \otimes Bh_L \wedge Bh_{\tilde{I}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The proof is again by induction on the cardinality of L. For $|L| = 0$, the above assertion follows from

$$\begin{aligned} S((1 - R)^{1/2}&h_I \otimes qR^{1/2}h_J) \\ &= Sa_R(h_I)a_R^*(h_{\tilde{J}})(\Omega \otimes \Omega) \\ &= a_R(h_{\tilde{J}})a_R^*(h_I)(\Omega \otimes \Omega) \\ &= (1 - R)^{1/2}h_{\tilde{J}} \otimes qR^{1/2}h_{\tilde{I}} \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $|L| = n$ and that we know the validity of equation 4.2 whenever $|L| < 1$.

The point to be noticed is that Corollary 4.2(ii) may be re-written - in view of (i) each $c(L_1)$ (and $c(L)$ in particular) being non-zero, and (ii) Remark 4.3(i) - as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&Ah_I \wedge Ah_L \otimes Bh_L \wedge Bh_J && (4.3)\\
&\quad = da_R(h_I)a_R(h_L)a^*_R(h_{\tilde{L}})a^*_R(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega && (4.4)\\
&\quad + \sum_{L_1 \subsetneq L} d(L_1) Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes \ Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J, && (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where the constants $d, d(L_1)$ are all real and remain unchanged under changing (I, J) to $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{I})$.

Now apply S to both sides of the above equation. Then the two terms on the right side get replaced by the terms obtained by replacing (I, J) by $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{I})$ (4.4 by definition of S and 4.5 by the induction hypothesis regarding 4.2), thereby completing the proof of equation 4.2.

Equation (4.2) clearly implies that

$$S(h_I \otimes qh_J) = \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}} \otimes q \left((R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}} \qquad (4.6)$$

(even if $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$; consideration of their intersections was needed essentially in order to establish Lemma 4.1 and thereby deduce the foregoing conclusions.)

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the linear subspace spanned by $\{h_I \otimes qh_J : |I|, |J| \geq 0\}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is an obviously dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}_R$ which is contained in the domain of the Tomita conjugation operator S, where its action is given by equation 4.6. We now wish to show that $\mathcal{D}$ is also contained in $dom(S^*)$ and that $S^*|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the operator F defined by the equation

$$F(h_I \otimes qh_J) = \left((R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}} \otimes q \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}} \qquad (4.7)$$

Indeed, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle S(h_I \otimes qh_J, h_{I'} \otimes qh_{J'}\rangle\\
&= \langle \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}} \otimes q \left(R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}}, h_{I'} \otimes qh_{J'}\rangle\\
&= \langle \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}}, h_{I'}\rangle \langle q \left(R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}}, qh_{J'}\rangle\\
&= \langle \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_J, h_{\tilde{I}'}\rangle \langle h_{\tilde{J}'}, \left(R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_I\rangle\\
&= \langle \left(R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}'}, h_I\rangle \langle q \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}'}, qh_J\rangle\\
&= \langle \left(R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}'} \otimes q \left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}'}, h_I \otimes qh_J\rangle\\
&= \langle F(h_{I'} \otimes qh_{J'}), h_I \otimes qh_J\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Then, as S and F leave $\mathcal{D}$ invariant, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &FS(h_I \otimes qh_J) \\ &\quad = F(\left((1-R)R^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{J}}) \otimes q \left(R(1-R)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\tilde{I}}) \\ &\quad = R(1-R)^{-1} h_I \otimes q(1-R)R^{-1} h_J \end{aligned}$$

If $S = \mathcal{J}\Delta^{1/2}$ is its polar decomposition, with $\mathcal{J}$ the modular conjugation and Δ the modular operator for M_R, the action of $\mathcal{J}$ and Δ on $\mathcal{D}$ are thus seen to be given by the following rules respectively:

$$\mathcal{J}(h_I \wedge h_L \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_J) = h_{\tilde{J}} \wedge h_L \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_{\tilde{I}}$$

$$\mathcal{J}(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = \Omega \otimes \Omega = \Delta(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(h_I \wedge h_L \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_J) \\ &\quad = R(1-R)^{-1} h_I \wedge R(1-R)^{-1} h_L \otimes q(1-R)R^{-1}) h_L \wedge q(1-R)R^{-1} h_J \end{aligned}$$

This proves part (i) of the Lemma, while the prrof of parts (ii) and (iii) only involve of the following facts:

1. Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 imply that $M_R(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$;
2. Lemma 4.4 (i) implies that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$
3. A painful but not difficult case-by-case computation reveals that
$$\mathcal{J} a_R(f) \mathcal{J} = (\Gamma \otimes \Gamma) b_R^*(f) \in M_R' \ \forall f$$

$\square$

The fact that s_t commutes with R is seen to imply that the state ω_R is preserved by the CAR flow $\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ and hence there exists a canonical semi-group $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$of isometries on $\mathcal{H}_R$ such that

$$S_t(x(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = \alpha_t(x)(\Omega \otimes \Omega) \ \forall x \in M_R.$$

The next lemma relates this semigroup $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ of isometries on $\mathcal{H}_R$ and the shift semigroup $\{s_t : t \geq 0\}$ of isometries on $\mathcal{H}$.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let* $\{h_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be the orthonormal basis of* $\mathcal{H}$ *as above. Then for every* $t \geq 0$*, we have,*

$$S_t(h_L \wedge h_I \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_J) = s_th_L \wedge s_th_I \otimes qs_th_L \wedge qs_th_J,$$

where $I, J,$ *and* L *are ordered subsets of* $\mathbb{N}$ *with* $I \cap J = I \cap L = L \cap J = \phi$.

Proof. From the proof of the Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Ah_I \wedge Ah_L \otimes Bh_L \wedge Bh_J\\
&\quad= da_R(h_L)a_R(h_I)a^*_R(h_{\tilde{L}})a^*_R(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega\\
&\quad+ \sum d_1(L_1)Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes\ Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J,
\end{aligned}$$

where d, d_1 are non-zero real numbers. Again from of the corollary 4.2(iii), we also have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&As_th_I \wedge As_th_L \otimes Bs_th_L \wedge Bs_th_J\\
&\quad= da_R(s_th_L)a_R(s_th_I)a^*_R(s_th_{\tilde{L}})a^*_R(s_th_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega\\
&\quad+ \sum d_1(L_1)As_th_I \wedge As_th_{L_1} \otimes\ Bs_th_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J.
\end{aligned}$$

So finally to prove our Lemma, again we use induction on the cardinality of L. For $|L| = 0$ or 1, it is a easy calculation. suppose $|L| = n$ and our Lemma is true for all ordered subset L_1 of L with $|L_1| < |L|$. Then observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&As_th_I \wedge As_th_L \otimes Bs_th_L \wedge Bs_th_J\\
&\quad= da_R(s_th_L)a_R(s_th_I)a^*_R(s_th_{\tilde{L}})a^*_R(s_th_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega\\
&\quad+ \sum d_1(L_1)As_th_I \wedge As_th_{L_1} \otimes\ Bs_th_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J.\\
&\quad= S_tda_R(h_L)a_R(h_I)a^*_R(h_{\tilde{L}})a^*_R(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega, (\text{ Recall } S_t)\\
&\quad\ + S_t(\sum d_1(L_1)Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes\ Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J)\\
&\quad= S_t[da_R(h_L)a_R(h_I)a^*_R(h_{\tilde{L}})a^*_R(h_{\tilde{J}})\Omega \otimes \Omega\\
&\quad\ + \sum d_1(L_1)Ah_I \wedge Ah_{L_1} \otimes\ Bh_{L_1} \wedge Bh_J]\\
&\quad= S_t(Ah_I \wedge Ah_L \otimes Bh_L \wedge Bh_J)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$S_t(Ah_I \wedge Ah_L \otimes Bh_L \wedge Bh_J) = As_th_I \wedge As_th_L \otimes Bs_th_L \wedge Bs_th_J.$$

As we have $s_t R = R s_t$, clearly above implies,

$$S_t(h_L \wedge h_I \otimes qh_L \wedge qh_J) = s_t h_L \wedge s_t h_I \otimes q s_t h_L \wedge q s_t h_J,$$

$\square$

REMARK 4.6. *Using the definition of S_t and $\mathcal{J}$, it easily follows that $S_t\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}S_t$ for all $t \geq 0$, which implies that α_t is equi-modular endomorphism for every $t \geq 0$. So now we are in the perfect situation to talk about the extendability of the* **CAR flow** *and under the above assumptions on R, we prove that* **CAR flows** *are not extendable.*

Now our aim is to explicitly determine $(\alpha_t(M_R)' \cap M_R)(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ for the CAR flow $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ denote copies of $\mathbb{N}$ - where we wish to think of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ as signifying the future and past respectively. Let us write $f_i = s_t h_i$, so $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{F}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(t, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Also consider an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{P}}$ of $L^2(0,t) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then clearly $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{P}} \cup \{f_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{F}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(0, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Let $F(\mathcal{F})$ and $F(\mathcal{P})$ denote the collections of all finite ordered subsets of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{L} = \{v_{I_1 J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2 J_2} : I_1, I_2 \in F(\mathcal{P}), J_1, J_2 \in F(\mathcal{F})\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_-(\mathcal{H})$, where $v_{IJ} = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n} \wedge f_{j_1} \wedge f_{j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{j_m}$, with $I = \{i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $J = \{j_1 < j_2 \cdots j_m \subset \mathcal{F}\}$.

Now if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$, we will be working with the expansion of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ with respect to above orthonormal basis. Let us fix an $l \in \mathcal{F}$. We shall write $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ in the following fashion, paying special attention to the occurrence or not of l in the first and/or second tensor factor:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = \sum & (p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} \\ & + p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2}) \\ & + \sum u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} \\ & + \sum u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} \\ & + \sum u_{01}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2} \\ & + \sum u_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Here and in the sequel, it will be tacitly assumed that the sums range over $((I_1J_1), (I_2, J_2)) \in (F(\mathcal{P}) \times F_l(\mathcal{F}))^2$ - where we write $F_l(\mathcal{F}) =$

$F(\mathcal{F} \setminus \{l\})$ - and $p_{mn}, u_{mn} : \{(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) : I_k \in F(\mathcal{P}), J_l \in F_l(\mathcal{F})\} \to \mathbb{C}, m, n \in \{0,1\}$ where it is demanded that $spt(p_{00}) = spt(p_{11})$ and that $spt(p_{11})$, $spt(u_{00})$,$spt(u_{10})$, $spt(u_{01})$ and $spt(u_{11})$ are all disjoint sets - where we write $spt(f)$ for the subset of its domain where the function f is non-zero. When necessary to show their dependence on the index l, we shall anoint these functions with an appropriate superscript, as in: $p^l_{11}(IJ, I'J')$.

The letters p and u are meant to signify 'paired' and 'unpaired'. Thus, suppose $l \in \mathcal{F}$, $I, L \in F(\mathcal{P})$, and $J, K \in F_l(\mathcal{F})$. If both $v_{IJ} \otimes qv_{LK}$ and $f_l \wedge v_{IJ} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{LK}$ appear in the representation of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ with non-zero coefficients, then we shall think of (IJ, KL) as being *an l-paired ordered pair.* Thus $spt(p_{00}) = spt(p_{11})$ is the collection of l-paired ordered pairs, while $\cup^1_{m,n=0} spt(u_{mn})$ is the collection of l-unpaired ordered pairs.

Note that in such an expression of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ with respect to different l, the type of a summand may change but the coefficients remain the same up to sign, since two vectors anti-commute under wedge product. We also note that $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ has been written with respect to the basis $\mathcal{L}' = \{v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2}, f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2}, v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2}, f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2} : I_i \in F(\mathcal{P}), J_r \in F_l(\mathcal{F})\}$. There are five types of sums in the representation of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$. For simplicity of notation, let us write:

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & \xi_T(p) = \sum (p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} \\
& \qquad + p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2}) \\
(ii) \quad & \xi_T(u_{00}) = \sum u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} \\
(iii) \quad & \xi_T(u_{10}) = \sum u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} \\
(iv) \quad & \xi_T(u_{01}) = \sum u_{01}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2} \\
(v) \quad & \xi_T(u_{11}) = \sum u_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2},
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{S} = \{p, u_{00}, u_{10}, u_{01}, u_{11}\}$. So we have:

$$T(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \xi_T(x).$$

We also write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad A_1 &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l),\\
(ii) \quad A_2 &= \frac{-1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b_R(f_l)\\
(iii) \quad B_1 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_l^{1/2}} a_R^*(f_l),\\
(iv) \quad B_2 &= \frac{-1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} b_R^*(f_l)(\Gamma \otimes \Gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

There is an implicit dependence in the definition of the A_i's and B_i's of the preceding equations on the arbitrarily chosen $l \in \mathcal{F}$. When we wish to make this dependence explicit (as in Theorem 4.7 below), we shall adopt the following notational device: $\mathcal{A}_l = \{A_1, A_2\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_l = \{B_1, B_2\}$. We shall frequently use the following facts in the sequel:

1. $R^{1/2} f_l = R^{1/2} s_t h_l = {\lambda_l}^{1/2} f_l$;
2. $(1-R)^{1/2} f_l = (1-R)^{1/2} s_t h_l = (1-\lambda_l)^{1/2} f_l$; and
3. $f_l \otimes \Omega, \Omega \otimes f_l \in ran(S_t) \ \forall \ l \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 4.7. *If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ satisfies $A_1 T(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = A_2 T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ and $B_1 T(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = B_2 T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_l, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_l$ and for all $l \in \mathcal{F}$, then*

$$T\Omega \otimes \Omega \subset [\{v_{I_1} \otimes q v_{I_2} : I_1, I_2 \in F(\mathcal{P}), (-1)^{|I_1|} = (-1)^{|I_2|}\}],$$

where [ ] denotes span closure.

We start with a $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$, which satisfies the hypothesis of the above Theorem 4.7 and write $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ as in 4.8 , for an arbitrary choice of index l. Then we go through the following Lemmas and prove that the coefficient functions $p_{00}, p_{11}, u_{10}, u_{01}, u_{11}$ are identically zero, while the support of u_{00} is contained in the set $\{(I_1 J_1, I_2 J_2) : J_1 \cup J_2 = \emptyset, (-1)^{|I_1|} = (-1)^{|I_2|}\}$. The truth of this assertion for all choices of l will prove our Theorem 4.7. We start with a Lemma regarding the representation of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\eta(x)$ (resp., $\eta(y)$) be a summand*[2] *of the sum $\xi_T(x)$ (resp, $\eta(y)$), where $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\langle \eta(x), \eta(y) \rangle = 0$ implies that $\langle X\eta(x), Y\eta(y) \rangle = 0$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ or $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. This follows from (i) the assumptions that $spt(p_{00}) = spt(p_{11})$, (ii) $sp(p_{11})$, $spt(u_{00})$,$spt(u_{10})$, $spt(u_{01})$ and $spt(u_{11})$ are all disjoint sets and (iii) the definition of the action of X, Y on $\eta(x)$. □

Lemma 4.9. *If $A_1T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) = A_2T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$, then $A_1\xi_T(x) = A_2\xi_T(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$. Similarly if $B_1T(\Omega \otimes \Omega) = B_2T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$, then $B_1\xi_T(x) = B_2\xi_T(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. This follows from

$$\|(A_1 - A_2)T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)\|^2 = \sum_{x\in\mathcal{S}} \|(A_1 - A_2)\xi_T(x)\|^2 \ ,$$

which is a consequence of Lemma 4.8. □

Now onwords we assume that T satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem 4.7 and with the foregoing notations we have the following Lemma regarding the coefficients of the representation of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$.

Lemma 4.10. *p_{00}, p_{11}, u_{00}, u_{10}, u_{01}, u_{11} satisfy the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & \sigma(I_2, J_2)p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) + p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} \\
= \quad & \sigma(I_1, J_1)p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) + \rho(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}{\lambda_l^{1/2}}, \\
(ii) \quad & \sigma(I_2, J_2)u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) = \sigma(I_1, J_1)u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2), \\
(iii) \quad & u_{01}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} = \star u_{01}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{(1-\lambda_l^{1/2}}{\lambda_l)^{1/2}}, \\
(iv) \quad & \star u_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} = \star u_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}{\lambda_l{}^{1/2}}, \\
(v) \quad & \star\frac{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}{\lambda_l^{1/2}}u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) = \star\frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma : F(\mathcal{P}) \times F(\mathcal{F}\} \to \{1, -1\}$ is defined by $\sigma(I, J) = (-1)^{|I|+|J|}$, $\rho : \{(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) : I_k \in F(\mathcal{P}), J_l \in F(\mathcal{F})\} \to \{1, -1\}$, defined by $\rho(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) = (-1)^{|I_1|+|J_1|+|I_2|+|J_2|}$, and $\star = \pm 1$.

---

[2] By a summand of $\xi_T(p)$ we shall mean a 'paired term' of the form $(p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qv_{I_2J_2} +\ p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\ f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes qf_l \wedge qv_{I_2J_2})$ rather than an individual term of such a pair

Proof. T satisfies $A_1(T\Omega \otimes \Omega) = A_2 T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$. So from the Lemma 4.9, we have $A_1\xi_T(x) = A_2\xi_T(x)$ foll $x \in \mathcal{S}$. Now for every $x \in \mathcal{S}$, we separately compute $A_1\xi_T(x)$ and $A_2\xi_T(x)$ and compare their coefficients.

(i) If $A_1\xi_T(p) = A_2\xi_T(pi)$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1\xi_T(p) &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l)\xi_T(p) \\
&= \sum\Big(\frac{p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l) v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \\
&+ \frac{p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q f_l \wedge q v_{I_2J_2}\Big) \\
&= \sum\Big(\sigma(I_2, J_2) p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2 \\
&\quad + \ p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}\Big) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2}
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_2\xi_T(p) \\
&= \frac{-1}{\lambda_l^{1/2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b(f_l) \xi_T(p) \\
&= \sum\Big(p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{-1}{\lambda_l^{1/2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b(f_l) v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \\
&+ p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{-1}{\lambda_l^{1/2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b(f_l) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q f_l \wedge q v_{I_2J_2} \\
&= \sum\Big(\sigma(I_1, J_1) p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) \\
&\quad + \rho(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}{\lambda_l^{1/2}}\Big) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2}
\end{aligned}$$

and (i) follows upon comparing coefficients in the two equations above.

(ii) If $A_1\xi_T(u_{00}) = A_2\xi_T(u_{00})$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1\xi_T(u_{00}) &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l)\xi_T(u_{00}) \\ &= \sum \frac{u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l) v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \\ &= \sum \sigma(I_2, J_2) u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} A_2\xi_T(u_{00}) &= \frac{-1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b(f_l)\xi_T(u_{00}) \\ &= \sum u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) \frac{-1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma b(f_l) v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \\ &= \sum \sigma(I_1, J_1) u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2}. \end{aligned}$$

and *(ii)* follows upon comparing coefficients in the two equations above.

Equations *(iii)* and *(iv)* are proved by arguing exactly as for *(ii)* above.

As for (v), we also have $B_1T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) = B_2T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$. So from Lemma 4.9, we have $B_1\xi_T(x) = B_2\xi_T(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$. In particular we have $B_1\xi_T(u_{10}) = B_2\xi_T(u_{10})$ We compute $B_1\xi_T(u_{10})$ and $B_2\xi_T(u_{10})$:

$$\begin{aligned} &B_1\xi_T(u_{10}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_l^{1/2}} a_R^*(f_l) \sum u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \\ &= \sum (\star \frac{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}{\lambda_l^{1/2}} u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} + v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q f_l \wedge q v_{I_2J_2}) \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} &B_2\xi_T(u_{10}) \\ &= \frac{-1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} b_R^*(f_l)(\Gamma\otimes\Gamma) \sum u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) f_l \wedge v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} \\ &= \sum (\star \frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} u_{10}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2J_2} + \star v_{I_1J_1} \otimes q f_l \wedge q v_{I_2J_2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\star \in \{+,-\}$. So by comparing coeficients in the expansion of $B_1\xi_T(u_{10})$ and $B_2\xi_T(u_{10})$, we find equation (v) of Lemma 4.10. $\square$

With foregoing notation and the assumptions on T, we have the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 4.11. *If we represent $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ las in eqn. 4.8, then*

$$u_{01} = u_{11} = u_{10} = 0.$$

That is the functions u_{01}, u_{11} and u_{10} are identically zero.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.10(iii), 4.10(iv) and 4.10(v) and the assumption that $\lambda_l \neq 1/2 \ \forall l$. $\square$

We continue to assume that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem 4.7 and proceed to analyse the representation of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ as in eqn. (4.8).

REMARK 4.12.

1. *Lemma 4.10(i) implies that if (IJ, KL) are l-paired, then $\sigma(I,J) \neq \sigma(K,L)$. (*Reason: *Otherwise, since $\rho(IJ,KL) = \pm 1$, and $|p_{11}(IJ.KL)| \neq 0$, we must have $\lambda_l = \frac{1}{2}$.)*
2. *Lemma 4.10(ii) implies that if $u_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) \neq 0$, then $\sigma(I_2,J_2) = \sigma(I_1,J_1)$, i.e. $(-1)^{|I_1|+|J_1|} = (-1)^{|I_2|+|J_2|}$.*

Now we wish to compare the representations of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ for different l's.

LEMMA 4.13. *Let $I,K \in F(\mathcal{P})$ and $J,L \in F(\mathcal{F})$. If a term of the form $v_{IJ} \otimes qv_{KL}$ appears in $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$ with non-zero coefficient, then (IJ,KL) can be w-**paired** for at most finitely many $w \in \mathcal{F}$ with $w \notin J \cup L$.*

Proof. Suppose, if possible, that $\{l_n : n \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct indices such that (IJ,KL) is l_n-paired for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we may, by Remark 4.12(1), conclude that $\{\sigma(I,J), \sigma(K,L)\} = \{1,-1\}$.

Deduce now from Lemma 4.10(i) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(K,L)p_{00}(IJ,LK) + \star p_{11}^{l_n}(IJ,Kl)\frac{\lambda_{l_n}^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_{l_n})^{1/2}} \\ &= \sigma(I,J)p_{00}(IJ,LK) + \star p_{11}^{l_n}(IJ,KL)\frac{(1-\lambda_{l_n})^{1/2}}{\lambda_{l_n}^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $\star \in \{+,-\}$. Since $\lambda_{l_n} \in (\epsilon, 1-\epsilon) \setminus \{1/2\}$ for all n, we see that $\{\frac{\lambda_{l_n}^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_{l_n})^{1/2}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\frac{(1-\lambda_{l_n})^{1/2}}{\lambda_{l_n}^{1/2}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are bounded sequences. As $p_{11}^{l_n}(IJ, LK)$ are Fourier coefficients, the sequence $\{p_{11}^{l_n}(IJ, LK)\}$ converges to 0, as $n \to \infty$. Clearly then $\{\frac{\lambda_{l_n}^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_{l_n})^{1/2}} p_{11}^{l_n}(IJ, LK) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\frac{(1-\lambda_{l_n})^{1/2}}{\lambda_{l_n}^{1/2}} p_{11}^{l_n}(IJ, LK) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are sequences converges to 0, as $n \to \infty$. So from the above equation we get $p_{00}(IJ, LK) = 0$. But we had assumed that $p_{00}(IJ, LK)$ is non-zero. Hence $v_{IJ} \otimes qv_{KL}$ can not be l-paired for infinitely many $l \in \mathcal{F}$ with $l \notin J \cup L$. $\square$

Lemma 4.14. *Let $I, K \in F(\mathcal{P})$ and $J, L \in F(\mathcal{F})$ with $l \notin J \cup L$. Suppose an element of the form $v_{IJ} \otimes qv_{KL}$, appearing in $T\Omega \otimes \Omega$ with a non-zero coefficient. Then we have $(-1)^{|I|+|J|} = (-1)^{|K|+|L|}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.13, we can find a $l_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $l_0 \notin J \cup L$ and $v_{IJ} \otimes qv_{KL}$ is not l_0-paired. If we write $T\Omega \otimes \Omega$ with respect to l_0, we see that $v_{IJ} \otimes qv_{KL}$ appears with exactly the same coefficient as in the third type of sum. So by observing the Remark 4.12 with respect to l_0, see that $(-1)^{|I|+|J|} = (-1)^{|K|+|L|}$. $\square$

Again with the foregoing notations, we have the following Lemma about the coefficients of the representation of $T(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$.

Lemma 4.15. *$p_{00} = p_{11} = 0$.*

Proof. Recall the equation 4.10(i) from Lemma 4.10:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(I_2, J_2)p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) + p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{\lambda_l^{1/2}}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} \\ = \sigma(I_1, J_1)p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) + \rho(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2)\frac{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}{\lambda_l^{1/2}}\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(I_2, J_2) = (-1)^{|I_2|+|J_2|}$ and $\sigma(I_1, J_1) = (-1)^{|I_1|+|J_1|}$. But from 4.14 we have $(-1)^{|I_2|+|J_2|} = (-1)^{|I_1|+|J_1|}$. Since $\lambda_l \neq 1/2$, from the above equation we get $p_{11}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) = 0$, which implies that $p_{00}(I_1J_1, I_2J_2) = 0$, since $spt(P_{00}) = spt(p_{11})$, i.e they have the same support. $\square$

Lemma 4.16. *$T\Omega \otimes \Omega = \sum x(I_1I_2)\ v_{I_1} \otimes qv_{I_2}$, where the summation is taken over $I_1, I_2 \in F(\mathcal{P})$ with $(-1)^{|I_1|} = (-1)^{|I_2|}$.*

Proof. So we started with a representation of $T\Omega\otimes\Omega$ like 4.8 and by using the Corollary 4.11 and Lemma 4.15 ended up with the following conclusions;

$$p_{00} = p_{11} = u_{10} = u_{01} = u_{11} = 0.$$

Thus finally the representation of $T\Omega\otimes\Omega$ will be of the form

$$T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) = \sum u_{00}(I_1 J_1, I_2 J_2)\ v_{I_1 J_1} \otimes q v_{I_2 J_2},$$

where the summation is taken over $I_1, I_2 \in F(\mathcal{P})$, $J_1, J_2 \in F(\mathcal{F})$ with $(-1)^{|I_2|+|J_2|} = (-1)^{|I_1|+|J_1|}$ and $l \notin J_1 \cup J_2$, for $l \in \mathcal{F}$. Since this is true for all $l \in \mathcal{F}$, J_1, J_2 are empty sets, i.e.

$$T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) = \sum x(I_1, I_2)\ v_{I_1} \otimes q v_{I_2},$$

where the summation is taken over $I_1, I_2 \in F(\mathcal{P})$ with $(-1)^{|I_1|} = (-1)^{|I_2|}$ and $x(I_1, I_2) = u_{00}(I_1\emptyset, I_2\emptyset)$ are complex numbers. □

So finally the above Lemma 4.16 proves our theorem 4.7.

THEOREM 4.17. *Let $T \in \alpha_t(M_R)' \cap M_R$, then*

$$T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) \subset [\{v_{I_1} \otimes q v_{I_2} : I_1, I_2 \in F(\mathcal{P}), (-1)^{|I_1|} = (-1)^{|I_2|}\}],$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that $A_1 T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) = A_2 T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$ and $B_1 T(\Omega\otimes\Omega) = B_2 T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$, then it follows from the Theorem 4.17.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_1 T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} a_R(f_l) T\Omega\otimes\Omega\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}} T a_R(f_l)\Omega\otimes\Omega \quad \text{since } T \in \alpha_t(M_R)'\\
&\quad= T f_l \otimes \Omega\\
&\quad= \frac{-1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}} T\Gamma\otimes\Gamma b_R(f_l)\Omega\otimes\Omega\\
&\quad= \frac{-1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}} \Gamma\otimes\Gamma b_R(f_l) T\Omega\otimes\Omega \quad \text{since } T \in M_R \text{ and } \Gamma\otimes\Gamma b_R(f_l) \in M_R'\\
&\quad= A_2 T(\Omega\otimes\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $A_1T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)=A_2T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$. Again observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_1T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)\\
&=\frac{1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}}a_R^*(f_l)T\Omega\otimes\Omega\\
&=\frac{1}{{\lambda_l}^{1/2}}Ta_R^*(f_l)\Omega\otimes\Omega \ \text{ since } T\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\\
&=T\Omega\otimes f_l\\
&=\frac{-1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}Tb_R^*(f_l)\Gamma\otimes\Gamma\Omega\otimes\Omega\\
&=\frac{-1}{(1-\lambda_l)^{1/2}}b_R^*(f_l)\Gamma\otimes\Gamma T\Omega\otimes\Omega,\ \text{ since } T\in M_R \text{ and } b_R^*(f_l)\Gamma\otimes\Gamma\in M_R'\\
&=B_2T(\Omega\otimes\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

That is $B_1T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)=B_2T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)$.

□

Theorem 4.18. *CAR flow $\alpha=\{\alpha_t:t\geq 0\}$ is not not extendable.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for some $t>0$, $\alpha_t:M_R\mapsto M_R$ is not extendable. To prove α_t is not extendable, we use the Theorem 3.7 of [BISS]. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\{y\alpha_t(x)\Omega\otimes\Omega: x\in M_R, y\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\cap M_R\}]\\
&\quad= \quad [\{\alpha_t(x)y\Omega\otimes\Omega: x\in M_R, y\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\cap M_R\}]\\
&\quad= \quad [\{(a_R(f_J)a_R^*(f_L))^*T\Omega\otimes\Omega: J,L\in F(\mathcal{F}), T\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\cap M_R\}].
\end{aligned}$$

Now if $T\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\cap M_R$, then from the Theorem 4.17 we have, $T(\Omega\otimes\Omega)\in\{v_{I_1}\otimes qv_{I_2}: I_1,I_2\in F(\mathcal{P}),(-1)^{|I_1|}=(-1)^{|I_2|}\}$. If $g\in\mathcal{P}$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle(a_R(f_J)a_R^*(f_L))^*T\Omega\otimes\Omega,\ &e_g\otimes\Omega\rangle\\
&=\langle T\Omega\otimes\Omega,\ a_R(f_I)a_R^*(f_L)e_g\otimes\Omega\rangle\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

So from the above, we conclude that $\{y\alpha_t(x)\Omega\otimes\Omega: x\in M_R, y\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\cap M_R\}$ is orthogonal to the vector $e_g\otimes\Omega$, i.e. $\{y\alpha_t(x): x\in M_R, y\in\alpha_t(M_R)'\cap M_R\}$ can not be weakly total in M_R, so by the Theorem 3.7 of [BISS] α_t can not be extendable. □

REMARK 4.19. *It has been proved in section 5[ABS] that CAR flows, arising from quasi-free state for scalar, on type III factors are extendable. But we have prove that CAR arising from quasi-free state for diagonalisable positive contractions (in particular scalars) are not extendable. So our result shows that there is some error in section 5 [ABS] regarding the conclusion of extendability of CAR flows. In-fact we think that there is a mistake in the theorem 4 of section 5 [ABS] and for that their conclusion regarding the extendability of CAR flows went wrong.*

5 CCR and CAR flow

We have aleready described CAR flow on type III factors. Let us describe CCR flow as follows.

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0,\infty) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space. For $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ we will write $\mathcal{H}^n$ for the symmetric tensor product of n copies of $\mathcal{H}$ for $n \geq 1$ with $\mathcal{H}^0 = \mathbb{C}$. The symmetric Fock space over H is defined as the direct sum of Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^n$$

The exponential map $\exp : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\exp(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} f^{\otimes n}$$

The symmetric Fock space $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H})$ is span closure of the vectors of the form $\exp(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Now for every vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there is a unique unitary operator $W(f)$ on $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies

$$W(\xi)\exp(\eta) = e^{-1/2||f|| - \langle g,f\rangle} \exp(g+f)$$

Let $CCR(\mathcal{H})$ be the unital C^*-algebra generated by $\{W(f) : f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H}))$. Let T be a positive operator on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the operator T determines the a state on $CCR(\mathcal{H})$ which satisfies the conditions;

$$\varphi_T(W(f)) = e^{-1/2||(1+2T)^{1/2} f||}.$$

This is called the quasi-free state with symbol T.

Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H})$. There exists a representation π_T of the C^*-algebra $CCR(\mathcal{H})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H})$, defined by the formula

$$\pi_T(W(f)) = W((1+T)^{1/2} f) \otimes W(qT^{1/2} f),$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and q is an anti-unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with $q^2 = 1$ (see [BrRo], or [ArWo]). In this representation, the state φ_T becomes the vector state

$$\varphi_T(x) = \langle \Omega \otimes \Omega, \pi_T(x)\Omega \otimes \Omega \rangle,$$

for $x \in CCR(\mathcal{H})$, and $\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\pi_T(CCR(\mathcal{H}))\Omega \otimes \Omega}$ is the GNS Hilbert space, under the assumption that T is injective (and hence also has dense range). So $(\pi_T, \mathcal{H}_T, \Omega \otimes \Omega)$ is the GNS triple for the C^*-algebra $CCR(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to the state φ_T. We write $M_T = \{\pi_T(CCR(\mathcal{H})\}''$.

Let $\{s_t\}_{t\geq}$ be the shift semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ and suppose that T commutes with s_t for all $t \geq 0$. Then M_T is a type III factor (see [Hlv]) and the CCR flow [Arv] restricts to an E_0-semigroup on M_T, $\alpha = \{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ uniquely determined by the following condition:

$$\alpha_t(\pi_T(W(f)) = \pi_T(W(s_t f)),$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}, t \geq 0$. This E_0-semigroup is called **CCR flow of rank dim $\mathcal{K}$** .

Note that if $T = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ with $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then it is well-known that $M_\lambda = M_T$ is a type III_λ factor. Further, It has been mentioned in the section of examples of [BISS] together with [MS] that $\{\alpha_t; t \geq 0\}$ is equi-modular and all these E_0-semigroups on type III_λ factors are extendable.

Remark 5.1. *Type III factors arising from quasi-free representation of CCR and CAR algebras with respect to the quasi-free states will always be hyperfinite factors (see [ArWo]). In particular in both the cases we find hyperfinite III_λ factors for $\lambda \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. Since III_λ factors are unique for every $\lambda \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, so we have two families of E_0-semigroups namely CAR flows and CCR flows on the same factor.*

Now we have the following Corollary to the Theorem 4.18 regarding the cocycle conjugacy of CAR flows and CCR flows.

Corollary 5.2. *The CAR and CCR flows arising from quasi-free states are not cocycle conjugate.*

Proof. Srinivasan and Margetts have proved in [MS] that CCR flows arising from these quasi-free states are extendable. By Theorem 4.18, CAR flows arising from quasi-free states are not extendable. But extendability of E_0-semigroup is a cocycle conjugacy invariant, so the result follows. $\square$

Remark 5.3. *This result is surprising, since on the type I factor CCR and CAR flows of same Arveson index are cocycle conjugate([Arv]).*

References

[Alev] Alevras, Alexis, *One parameter Semigroup of Endomorphism of factors of type II_1*, J. Operator theory 51(2004), 161179.

[ArWo] Huzihiro Araki, E.J. Woods *A Classifications of Factors*, publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. Ser. A, Vol 3 (1968), pp. 51-130.

[BrRo] O. Bratteli, D. W. Robinson Operator Algebras and quantum statistical Mechanics 2, Springer.

[ABS] G. G. Amosov, A. V. Bulinskii, M. E. Shirokov, *Regular Semigroups of Endomorphisms of von Neumann Factors* , Mathematical Notes, vol. 70, no. 5, 2001, pp. 583598. Translated from Matematicheskie Zametki, vol. 70, no. 5, 2001, pp. 643659.

[G] G. G. Amosov, *On cocycle conjugacy of quasifree endomorphism on the CAR algebra*, Journal of Mthematical Sciences, Vol. 105, No. 6, 2001.

[Arv] Arveson, William, *Noncommutative dynamics and E-semigroups.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag,, New York.

[BMSS] Bikram, Panchugopal; Mukherjee, Kunal; R. Srinivasan, and V.S. Sunder, *Hilbert von Neumann modules*, (special issue of) Communications in Stochastic Analysis (in honour of Professor K. R. Parthasarathy), vol. **6**, no. 1, (March 2012)

[BISS] Bikram, Panchugopal; Izumi, Masaki; R. Srinivasan, and V.S. Sunder, *On Extendability*, preprint, and e-print arXiv 1211.2576 (math OA).

[Lan] Lance, Cristofer, *Hilbert C^*-algebra.* J. Operator Theory 51 (2004), no. 1, 161–179.

[MS] Oliver T. Margetts; R. Srinivasan *Invariants for E_0-semigroups on II_1 factors* arXiv:1209.1283 [math.OA]

[Pr] Powers R.T ; *An index theory for semigroup of $*$-endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors* Canad. J. Math., 40(1988), 86-114.

[PoSt] Powers, R.T; Stormer, E, *Free States of the Canonical Anti-commutation Relations*, Commun. Math. Phys. 16, 1-33 (1970).

[Hlv] A. S. Holevo *Quasifree states of the C^*-algebra of CCR*, Toer, i Matem , Fiz, 6,3 (1971).

[Skei] Skeide, Michael, *Hilbert modules and applications in quantum probability*, Cottbus, 2001.

Email id. panchugopal@imsc.res.in
Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai